

Message

From: Adams, Glenn [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C79E328CD4484265B012DFA81663F5E7-ADAMS, GLENN]
Sent: 10/21/2021 5:00:31 PM
To: Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: ELT - Oak Ridge Rad Discharge Limit - Draft response email language

FYI

From: Torres, Ramon <Torres.Ramon@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 12:37 PM
To: Monell, Carol <Monell.Carol@epa.gov>
Cc: Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>; Chaffins, Randall <Chaffins.Randall@epa.gov>
Subject: ELT - Oak Ridge Rad Discharge Limit - Draft response email language

Hi Carol,

This is a draft language we are recommending for you to reply to DOE's request for comments by this Friday. Let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

EPA cannot accept the DOE option (option 1) because it does not include risk-based instream water quality PRGs which are required by the EPA Administrator's Decision. Even though the EIT developed option (option 3) that includes risk-based instream water quality PRGs for only 16 out of the 22 radionuclides, it also states that compliance with six (6) of the radionuclides would not be required. Option 3, as stated, does not meet the intent of the EPA Administrator's Decision. We are currently working with our Headquarters to revise option 2 which states that compliance with instream water quality PRGs will be demonstrated for all 22 radionuclides. We have not been able to schedule briefings with our headquarters yet, we are working to schedule briefings for the next week or the first week of November. We will respond to DOE as soon as possible with a revised option.

Thanks,

Ramon Torres,

Acting Director
Superfund & Emergency Management Division
EPA Region 4
61 Forsyth SW
11th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30303
404-562-8454